

Leverage at the Speed of Light

Optical Fiber and Development Opportunities in Colombia April 23, 2012



April 23, 2012 This publication was produced for review by the United States Agency for International Development. It was prepared by Integra Government Services International, LLC.

Leverage at the Speed of Light

Optical Fiber and Development Opportunities in Colombia April 23, 2012

Disclaimer

The author's views expressed in this publication do not necessarily reflect the views of the United States Agency for International Development or the United States Government.

Table of Contents

Table of Contents	iv
Introduction	I
Colombia's Activist ICT Policy - Vive Digital Economic Growth and Alternative Livelihoods	2
Leverage Opportunities for USAID ICT for Health and Education ICT for Peace	3
Current USAID/Washington Engagement with COMPARTEL Evaluating local connectivity infrastructure options in rural areas Facilitating demand for ICT services Defining COMPARTEL's role post-Vive Digital	5
Next Steps Rural Access Demand Facilitation Summary	6
Annexes Annex I – Data on Priority Municipalities Annex 2 – Location of Priority Municipalities in Colombia	8

Introduction

Colombia today presents a starkly different picture from the war-torn drug republic that gained a global reputation in the 1980's and 90's. Since 2000 economic growth has averaged over 4 percent per year, and the country's per capita income has more than doubled. In a nation of 44 million people, 12 million have entered an emerging middle class over that last decade, and over that same period foreign investment has increased by 100 percent. Last year Colombian bonds were promoted to "investment grade" by all three of the large rating agencies, ensuring that this trend will continue.

Colombia's economic performance over that last twelve years has been accompanied by a technological revolution. As in much of the world, mobile phone use has scaled to the point that devices that were once exclusive now outnumber people (a 103 percent penetration rate). Over thirty-six percent of the population uses the Internet.

Colombia's impressive economic growth and its rapid adoption of technology are related. Not only does increased income drive demand for technology, but studies have identified a causal relationship between technology use and economic growth. Technology facilitates access to information, which can be used to make better business decisions, mitigate risks, expedite processes, and improve practices. The result is that a 10 percent increase in the share of the population that uses of information and communications technology (ICT) will increase economic growth between 0.5 percent and 1.5 percent. Consequently, Colombia's nexus of economic and technology growth is a virtuous circle, and the government is actively taking steps to ensure the whole country benefits.

Colombia's Activist ICT Policy: Vive Digital

n 2010 the Colombian government, through its social telecommunications program COMPARTEL (an initiative of the ICT Ministry), launched a massive new ICT agenda called Vive Digital. The program will invest \$2.25 billion of public funds and will leverage \$10.25 billion of private sector money between 2010 and 2014. The program has three overall goals that will be achieved through a series of projects, designed and implemented on a yearly basis. The three overall objectives of Vive Digital are as follows:

1. Connect 50 percent of all households and 50 percent of all MSMEs in Colombia to the Internet (from a 2010 base of 27 percent and 7 percent, respectively).



- 2. Create 6.4 million new Internet connections, wired and wireless.
- 3. More than triple the number of municipalities connected to an optical fiber backbone (to achieve 96 percent coverage at municipality level).

When launched in 2010, Vive Digital consisted of three projects: a public-private partnership (PPP) for the extension of the national fiber-optic backbone by 18,000 km to cover 1,078 out of Colombia's 1,122 municipalities, an effort to provide connectivity to 10,000 public institutions such as libraries, and a push to provide 115,000 low income urban households access to in-home Internet for \$10/month. All of these projects are under implementation, most notably the fiber backbone, which is being constructed by the Salinas group at a cost of over \$600 million, and which will be operated as an open-access network for the whole country.

Additional projects have been developed for the second year of Vive Digital. These include an effort to reach all communities of 100 people or more with at least one public telecenter (the Centros Poblados initiative) and one that will install 800 "Techno Centros" in urban areas connected to the fiber backbone. The Techno Centros will include 20-30 laptops, high speed Internet, room for gatherings, training courses on computing and IT skills, basic skills courses such as financial management, and even offer games to users. Additionally, the government has just issued its first 4G license, to the network operator UNE.

The Vive Digital program represents an extremely valuable entry point for USAID to help shape the future of the Internet in Colombia. It also provides important opportunities to leverage technology to strengthen current programming.

Leverage Opportunities for USAID

Because Vive Digital focuses on underserved rural communities it will benefit the municipalities where BUSAID focuses most of its work. Under this program, 32 of the 51 municipalities prioritized by USAID/Colombia will be connected to the national Internet backbone for the first time. This will mean that at the completion of Vive Digital, only 2 of USAID's priority municipalities will remain unconnected from terrestrial Internet (Puerto Leguizamo in Putumayo and La Macarena in Meta). The full list of municipalities to be connected (and date of connection) is available in Annex 1, but the maps in figure 1 below give a good overview of what Vive Digital will do with optical fiber, and how it will bring connectivity to municipalities that are important for security reasons. The maps indicate current fiber backbone coverage (left) and additional coverage to be provided by the project (center). Note that the map in the center does not present cumulative coverage. The map on the right shows how President Santos'''Comprehensive Policy on Security and Defense for Prosperity'' ranks regions in Colombia.

Red municipalities are those were military intervention is still required, yellow areas are zones where military gains are being consolidated by bringing in other state services, and green zones are peaceful and secure. Note how the Vive Digital program is bringing connectivity to many red and yellow zones.

As a consequence of Vive Digital, USAID will, for the first time, be able to leverage inexpensive (i.e. non-satellite) telecommunications to strengthen its work on alternative livelihoods, consolidation,



Figure 1 –The Reach of optical fiber in pre-Vive Digital Colombia, new areas to which Vive Digital will expand fiber access, and the security situation in Colombia

economic growth, and social inclusion in the vast majority of its geographic project locations. The possibilities are extensive.

Economic Growth and Alternative Livelihoods

As mentioned earlier, numerous studies have confirmed the positive economic impact of broadband on economic growth at the macro level.ⁱ Further, the growth obtained from broadband expansion creates jobs. For example, in Brazil, broadband was found to add up to 1.4 percent to the employment growth rate.ⁱⁱ So before entering any discussion of specific applications it is important to understand that people will use the Internet for these productive purposes without being coached on specifics. However, targeted programs can make use of specific applications as part of a value chain approach to improving the economic performance of a sector.

For example, within agriculture – a key focus of alternative livelihood strategies - there are specific applications aimed to help source inputs, improve practices, mitigate financial risk, facilitate marketing at both the wholesale and retail level, and allow a product to be traced from origin to consumption. A number of these have been used by USAID throughout the world with much success. For example, <u>USAID/East Africa</u> successfully used Sustainable Harvest's "Relationship Information Tracking System" to

i World Bank (2009), McKenzie and Co. in Buttkereit et al. (2009) ii Word Bank's Broadband Strategies Handbook, 2012 provide source information on exported Kenyan coffee, allowing it to command a price premium in the international market.

Further, access to the Internet will allow residents of rural regions of Colombia to learn skills necessary to enter the digital workforce. With fast Internet access, and COMPARTEL-funded computer labs in schools and in public telecenters, the opportunity exists to train rural residents, especially youth, in skills that will allow them to work in the knowledge economy, a powerful draw away from illicit activities.

ICT for Health and Education

Social inclusion is an important component of Consolidation, and equal access to both health care and educational opportunities is key to weaving an effective social fabric. Public service delivery has always been difficult in remote rural areas, and mobile communications and Internet access are now poised to ease many of the burdens of doing so.

In health care provision, a constant problem in the developing world is the shortage of available doctors, and their concentration in cities. Mobile technologies, such as "MobileMedic" allow patients in rural areas to interact with, and receive diagnoses from, doctors in urban areas at minimal cost to them. These interactions can help the patient decide if the costly trip to a nearby city to see a doctor in person and receive treatment is worth it. Further, mobile telephony can spread information about proper health care procedures, combatting rumor and ending traditional practices that could in fact be harmful.

In education, textbooks, once a scarce commodity, can now be beamed to electronic devices in classrooms. In poor areas where field trips were once impossible, they can now be done virtually. If teacher absenteeism is a problem, they can be forced to text a time-stamped photo of themselves with their students to a central administrative office every day in order to receive a paycheck (this has proved especially effective in India). In short, digital tools available over the Internet or mobile networks can help level the educational playing field between relatively rich urban students and those in poorer rural areas.

ICT for Peace

Violence prevention and conflict avoidance are other programmatic themes that digital technology can strengthen. Perpetuators of violence can be tracked via crowd-sourced mapware, and citizens can take appropriate action after receiving messages from a conflict early warning system. Further, violent behavior can be curbed through ICT-enabled behavior change campaigns, rumors that could perpetuate preemptive violent action can be quashed, and dialogue forums can be more easily sustained. Perhaps the most famous example of crowd-sourced violence tracking is Ushahidi, meaning "testimony" in Swahili, a mobile phone application that allowed users to report incidents in Kenya during the postelection violence there in early 2008. The reports, if corroborated by multiple messages, were mapped in an accessible way that was used by both the police, to target resources, and by the citizenry, to either feel assured that the violence was far from them or to know when to leave an area.

In Colombia, crowd-sourced warnings of violence could be used to effectively marshal defense resources. Safety campaigns could be run on mobile phones to train people in how to report an attack or on how to give first aid to victims. Community response groups could be better coordinated through the use of digital tools. Land records could be digitized, and conflict over usage rights could be better mediated and more quickly resolved. All of these uses of ICT would contribute to greater community confidence and increase their investment in productive assets, enhancing their economic prospects and improving consolidation and "alternative livelihoods" outcomes.

Current USAID/Washington Engagement with COMPARTEL

SAID/Washington, though the EGAT Bureau's Global Broadband and Innovations (GBI) program, has signed an agreement with COMPARTEL to provide technical assistance on rural connectivity. The cooperation will focus on helping COMPARTEL understand the telecommunications market in Colombia, and use that understanding to tailor their rural connectivity strategy. Specific areas of focus will include the following.



Evaluating local connectivity infrastructure options in rural areas

stakeholders' meeting in February 2012. Photo: Ministerio TIC Colombia

Current thinking in the ICT ministry is that once

municipalities are connected to the fiber backbone the local distribution of connectivity will be handled by a willing private company. They will either build towers capable offering mobile Internet, install cable to people's homes, or go so far as to follow the "fiber-to-the-home" model pioneered on the island of San Andres (equivalent to Verizon FiOS in the US). Consequently, the Vive Digital plan does not include a component that will facilitate the construction of 3G and 4G cellular towers in rural areas, or otherwise support the provision of in-home connectivity. GBI will work with COMPARTEL to re-evaluate this assumption, given compelling data that suggests their current strategy, which relies solely on building demand, will not be enough to create a viable market for private service providers. The creation of a universal service regime that supports mobile Internet expansion could be a major leave-behind of USAID involvement.

Facilitating demand for ICT services

After providing fiber connectivity to rural areas, COMPARTEL will make a major push to drive demand for Internet services in newly connected municipalities. They will provide telecenters through the Centros Poblados program that will offer skill-building courses and provide citizens with a low cost opportunity to try the Internet. Further, they will connect thousands of schools and support a curriculum that trains students in how to use ICTs. The effectiveness of these interventions needs to be measured. Also, other options remain on the table and could potentially be implemented. These include offering online tools that allow people, and especially local businesses, to interact with the municipal government in a way that improves efficiency. Another policy option, and one that needs to be evaluated for sustainability, is to subsidize device ownership. Other options include public relations efforts to ensure businesses understand that their information is safe and secure when stored online, or efforts to work with local technology entrepreneurs to encourage the creation of applications and content that could be useful for the populations of rural areas. GBI will work with COMPARTEL to define and evaluate this program of demand facilitation.

Defining COMPARTEL's role post-Vive Digital

As an extension of our work on demand facilitation, GBI will provide consulting services to COMPARTEL aimed at creating a strategic plan to take effect once its flagship connectivity expansion program is completed in 2014.

Next Steps

Given that the Colombian government's program of connectivity expansion presents USAID with a unique opportunity to leverage telecommunications for development purposes, and that GBI offers USAID/Colombia a valuable point of entry into the program, the next question should be "how to proceed." There are two fronts on which USAID/Colombia should consider pushing.

Rural Access

First, although COMPARTEL is providing fiber connectivity to municipalities and is building computer labs in telecenters and schools, work remains to be done to ensure that all citizens of a municipality have affordable access to the Internet. There are certain areas where the private sector will almost certainly not be willing to provide telecommunications infrastructure, they are simply too remote.

A mobile network operator's profits from building an additional 3G/4G tower are a function of the wealth and size of the population within range of the tower, as well as the cost of providing that infrastructure. Consequently, it is easier for them to provide service in population centers, and rural areas remain underserved. As COMPARTEL provides fiber access in municipalities, the cost of providing service in these areas will fall. But further cost savings will still be required in order to profitably offer broadband services to the most remote populations. The major points of possible cost savings associated with providing service in remote areas are electricity for tower operation and the cost of the infrastructure itself.

The technology exists to significantly lower costs in these areas. Base stations operating "small cells" can be procured for 20 percent of the cost of a traditional cell phone facility, and they draw significantly less power. Consequently, they can be operated with a small solar array or a hybrid power supply, and operational costs are reduced to a fraction of what they would be otherwise. Using small cell technology, it is profitable for an operator to install service in an isolated rural village where inhabitants can pay as little as \$3 per month for access, a price which many studies have shown is within the willingness to pay of those living on \$1/day.

There is room for USAID to work with the private sector to prove the "small-cell" business model and pave the way for expanded network access in rural areas. Pilot deployments could be made in consolidation zones so that the benefits of ICT access for alternative livelihoods and social inclusion could also be tested. If successful, ICT-access and ICT projects could be scaled to all 51 *municipios* subject to USAID intervention.

Demand Facilitation

There is much room for USAID/Colombia to support demand facilitation by incorporating ICT tools in its development projects. This could be done in education, health, agriculture, or security, among other sectors. For example, Alternative Livelihood projects could include a fund to support the creation of ICT application and services that promote farming. Or they could work to build ICT skills in young people to improve their employability. These options would allow USAID to create programming that benefits from connectivity, but also increases the use of ICT, which itself creates a virtuous circle of increasing economic growth.

USAID/Colombia, in addition to supporting demand indirectly through sectoral programs, could do so directly, by working with local governments or local firms and NGOs to develop content that links them to clients. Additional options include creating school Internet programs and building effective adult digital literacy curricula in community telecenters. These efforts would strengthen social inclusion and enhance efforts made in developing alternative livelihoods.

Summary

COMPARTEL's active, and extremely effective, policy of ICT expansion – one of the largest and most successful in the entire world - provides

Children from remote areas of the country benefiting from the Vive Digital project.

Photo: Ministerio TIC Colombia

a very strong leverage opportunity for USAID/Colombia. The state of the Internet in rural areas of the country is set to change dramatically in the next two years. By working to include ICTs in rural programming USAID/Colombia will help COMPARTEL create demand for these new Internet services. This will increase the size of the network in the country, and help allow telecommunications firms to build more infrastructure in rural areas. In short, it will create a virtuous circle of economic growth and technology use that will help Colombia meet its development goals.



Annexes

Annex I – Data on Priority Municipalities

ZOHA FOCAUZADA Departamento Municipio Result of the phy Vive Digits? Connected Penetation CATATUMBO (región fronteriza) NORTE DE SANTANDER ILACAMI Y Nov. 2012 0.00% 13,088 80.8% 83.9% CORDILLERA CENTRAL (sur del Tolina, Valley TOLIMA PLATACO Y Nov. 2012 0.00% 13,088 80.8% 83.9% CORDILLERA CENTRAL (sur del Tolina, Valley VALLE DEL CAUCA PLATANDER DE QUILUMO TORIBO Y Nov. 2012 0.00% 30.310 59.37% MONTES DE MARIA SUCRE OVELAS Already Connected Already Connected Nav-14 0.06% 65.01 40.32% NUDO DE FRAMINIA SUCRE GORINTO Y May-14 0.06% 30.310 59.32% CAUCA ELAMEND DE BULIVA				To be connected to	Date to be	Fixed Internet	Total	Share of
CATATUMB0 (region fronteriza) CONVENCION	ZONA FOCALIZADA	Departamento	Municipio	fiber by Vive Digital?	Connected	Penetration	Population	Population
CATATUMB0 (region fronteriza) COUVENCION NORTE DE SANTANDER I TARRA Y Nov. 2012 0.02% 1.4,671 82.34% CATATUMB0 (region fronteriza) NORTE DE SANTANDER I TARRA I TARRA Y Nov. 2012 0.03% 1.4,531 82.34% SAN CALISTO TOLIMA SAN CALISTO Y Nov. 2012 0.04% 10.458 85.05% TOLIMA TOLIMA TACO Y Nov. 2012 0.06% 13.088 85.02% Y Jan. 2014 0.01% 22.243 79.31% ATACO Y Jan. 2014 0.01% 22.43 79.31% Korde I Caucia PLANDRA ATRCO Y Jan. 2014 0.07% 24.98 82.73% Korde I Caucia PLANDRA PLANDRA ATRCAY Connected Jan. 2014 0.07% 24.98 82.73% Korde I Caucia PLANDRA PLANDRA ATRCAY Connected Jan. 2014 0.06% 30.350 Jan.276 Korde I Caucia SUCIRA SUCIRA SUCIRA Y Maready C				noer by vive bigitan	connected	renetration	ropulation	that is Rural
CATATUMED (region fronteriza) ECAMMEN Y Nov. 2012 0.00% 14,911 8.23% (region fronteriza) NORTE DE SANTANDER HARAA Y Nov. 2012 0.02% 10,045 65,04% HACANI Y Nov. 2012 0.02% 10,045 85,04% FUEN FUENAMA Y Nov. 2012 0.06% 13,088 88,12% Norte del Cauca) TOUMA FUENAMA Y Nov. 2012 0.06% 13,088 86,12% Norte del Cauca) TOUMA FUENAMA Y Nov. 2012 0.07% 22,94 73,738 Norte del Cauca) TOUMA FADORA Y Nov. 2012 0.07% 22,95 72,768 Norte del Cauca) MIGANDA Y Marejá Connected 0.07% 42,958 82,757 MONTES DE MARIA BOULVAR EL CAMMEN DE EOU/ULRAA Neejá Connected 0.07% 42,958 93,92% MONTES DE MARIA BOULVAR EL CAMEN DE EOU/ULRAA Y Nov. 2012 1.61% 2			CONVENCION	Y	Nov. 2012	0.22%	14,679	58.61%
CATATUMB0 (región fronteriza)NORTE DE SANTANDER HACAINITARRA HACAINYNov. 20120.04%10,41689.60%KINCALINTOYNov. 20120.04%13,08885.10%FUETERUYNov. 20120.06%13,08885.02%YNov. 20120.06%13,08885.02%YNov. 20120.06%13,08885.02%FUETERUTROONAAYNov. 20120.06%46.981Nov. 20120.06%46.981FUETERURADORAYJan. 20140.07%24.98582.73%AFUECARDERARADORAYJan. 20140.07%24.98582.73%ALCANCARDERARADORAYJan. 20140.07%24.98582.73%ALCANCARDERARADORAYMarealy Connected200%52.643140.32%YMICANDATERORAYMarealy Connected200%52.7589.39%YMIATANDER DE CULICIMOSUCINTOYMarealy Connected20.05%73.854MONTES DE MARIASUCINTOSUCINTOYMarealy Connected0.01%71.854MUDD DE FARAMILIOSUCINTOSUCINTOYMarealy Connected0.01%21.30347.44%MUTES DE MARIASUCINTOSUCINTOSUCINTO1.85%63.37%75.13%MUTES DE MARIASUCINTOSUCINTOSUCINTOSUCINTO1.85%63.23%75.13%MUTES DE MARIA<		NORTE DE SANTANDER	EL CARMEN	Y	Nov. 2012	0.10%	14,911	82.34%
(region fronteria) NORTE DE SANTANDER HACARI Y No. 2012 0.04% 10,416 88,60% (region fronteria) TOLIMA TEORAMA Y Nor. 2012 0.04% 13,088 86,12% (region fronteria) TOLIMA ATACO Y Nor. 2012 0.06% 13,079 88,02% (region fronteria) TOLIMA ATACO Y Nor. 2012 0.06% 13,079 86,02% (region fronteria) TOLIMA ATACO Y Nor. 2012 0.06% 22,39% 75,35% (Sor del folim), Valle y VALLE DEL CAUCA FLORIDA Already Connected 0.07% 24,985 82,32% CAUCA CORINTO Y May-14 0.05% 33,031 59,32% CAUCA GORINO Y May-14 0.05% 33,031 59,32% MONTES DE MARIA SUCRE GORINO Y May-14 0.05% 24,95% MONTES DE MARIA SUCRE GORINO Y Nor. 2012 0.16%	CATATUMBO		EI TARRA	Y	Nov. 2012	0.29%	10,853	65.04%
Number of the section of the	(región fronteriza)		HACARÍ	Y	Nov. 2012	0.04%	10,416	89.60%
Image: matrix field TEORAMA Y No. 2012 0.06% 19.790 88.08% Tellu Tellu Y No. 2012 1.37% 35.723 666.2% Tellu Tellu Y No. 2012 1.37% 23.73% 27.37% Tellu TelluA FukMADAS Y Jan. 2014 0.07% 22.93% 75.76% Y Jan. 2014 0.07% 22.93% 75.76% Y Jan. 2014 0.07% 22.93% 75.76% Y Marcaly Connected Already Connected 2.00% 57.264 Y Jan.2014 0.05% 33.91 59.92% Morte del Cauca) Tel AMRADA Y May-14 0.05% 33.91 59.92% Autore Control Y May-14 0.05% 35.73 75.35% MONTES DE MARIA SUCRE GAN IAO/ITO Y May-14 0.05% 71.49% MONTES DE MARIA SUCRE GAN ANORITO Y Nov. 2012 1.35% 10.77% 48			SAN CALIXTO	Y	Nov. 2012	0.08%	13,088	86.12%
Value Value <th< td=""><td></td><td>TEORAMA</td><td>Y</td><td>Nov. 2012</td><td>0.06%</td><td>19,790</td><td>89.08%</td></th<>			TEORAMA	Y	Nov. 2012	0.06%	19,790	89.08%
CORDILLERA CENTRAL (Sur ef l'olima, valle) ATACO V Jan. 2014 0.01% 22,243 973.1% CORDILLERA CENTRAL (Sur ef l'olima, valle) PLANDAS V Jan. 2014 0.07% 29,358 22,358			TIBU	Y	Nov. 2012	1.37%	35,723	66.62%
CORDILLERA CETRAI CHARABRAL Already Connected 0.62% 46,981 CORDILLERA CETRAI Note del Cauca PPADEBA Already Connected 0.07% 24,985 82,73% Norte del Cauca PADEBA Already Connected 0.07% 24,985 82,73% Norte del Cauca PADEBA Already Connected 0.07% 24,985 82,73% Norte del Cauca MiraNDA Y May-14 0.68% 36,901 40,32% CAUCA MiraNDA Y May-14 0.68% 36,901 93,92% MONTES DE MARIA BOLIVAR EL CARMEN DE BOLIVAR Already Connected 0.01% 77,858 93,92% MONTES DE MARIA SUCRE OVEJAS Y Nov.2012 0.01% 71,845 MONTES DE MARIA SUCRE AN ORITO Y Nov.2012 0.01% 71,845 MONTES DE MARIA AN ORITO Y Nov.2012 0.03% 73,35% MONTES DE MARIA AN ORITO Y Nov.2012 0.16% 74,34		TOLIMA	ATACO	Y	Jan. 2014	0.11%	22,243	79.31%
Induition FLAMADAS Y Jan. 2014 0.07% 29,739 75,768 CORDILERA CENTRAL (Sor del Tolina, Valle) VALLE DEL CAUCA PROBLANCA Already Connected 2.09% 52,493 82,738 Norte del Cauca) MIRANDA Y Mar,214 0.07% 24,985 82,738 Norte del Cauca) MIRANDA Y Mar,214 0.68% 36,901 40,33% CAUCA CAUCA CORINTO Y May-14 0.68% 30,319 59,32% MONTES DE MARIA BOLIVAR EL CARMEN DE BOLIVAR Already Connected 0.05% 27,958 93,92% MONTES DE MARIA SUCRE CAUCAS Y Nov.2012 0.05% 27,45% SUCRE SAN NORFRE Already Connected Nov.2012 0.05% 27,45% ANTOQUIA FLEARMEN DE BOLIVAR Already Connected 0.05% 27,45% 75,43% MONTEL DE ALAGRE ANORI CAUCASIA Already Connected 0.05% 27,45% 75,43% MUDO DE PARAMI			CHAPARRAL	Already Connected		0.62%	46,981	
CORDILLEAR CENTRAL (Sur del Tolina, Valley Norte del Cauca)Valle DEL CAUCARead Cancel FLORIDAVaned Cancel Already Connected0.00% 2.29% 2.00%24.985 2.29% 2.00%87.784 4.035%0.033995.92% 5.92%Norte del Cauca Norte del Cauca Norte del Cauca Norte del CaucaMIRANDA CAUCAYMay-14 May-140.68% 0.06%30.31995.92% 5.92%MIRANDA CAUCACORINTO CAUTOYMay-14 May-140.05% 0.05%77.958 7.95893.92% 93.92%MONTES DE MARIA MONTES DE MARIA Gale Causa Antioguén y and the second CordobayOULAS TAMONYMay-14 May-140.05% 0.05%77.958 0.05%93.92% 0.05%93.92% 0.05%77.958 0.05%93.92% 0.05%93.92% 0.05%93.92% 			PLANADAS	Y	Jan. 2014	0.07%	29,739	75.76%
CORDILERA CENTRA (sur del Toinay) VALLE DEL CAUCA PRADERA FLORIDA Already Connected Already Connected 2.29% (20%) 52,493 Norte del Cauca) MIRANDA Y May-14 0.68% 30,319 95.92% CAUCA CONITO Y May-14 0.68% 30,319 95.92% CAUCA CANTO Y May-14 0.68% 30,319 95.92% MONTES DE MARIA BOLIVAR EL CARMEN DE BOLIVAR Already Connected 0.01% 77.95% 93.92% MONTES DE MARIA BOLIVAR EL CARMEN DE BOLIVAR Already Connected 0.01% 77.83% 77.45% MONTES DE MARIA BUCIAS Y Nov.2012 1.01% 2.435 77.43% MONTES DE MARIA BRICEÑO Y Nov.2012 1.5% 8,737 75.3% MUDO DE PARAMILIO (Big) Causa EL GAREN Already Connected Nov.2012 1.5% 8,737 75.3% MUDO DE PARAMILIO (Big) Causa EL GAREN Already Connected Nov.2012 1.5% 8,737 75.3% </td <td></td> <td>RIOBLANCO</td> <td>Y</td> <td>Jan. 2014</td> <td>0.07%</td> <td>24,985</td> <td>82.73%</td>			RIOBLANCO	Y	Jan. 2014	0.07%	24,985	82.73%
(sur del Tolima, Valle y Norte del Cauca)VALE DEL CAUCAFLORIDA FLORIDAAlready Connected Y May-142.00% May-1457,264Norte del Cauca)Alfeando CAUCACORINTO CAUTOY SINTANDER DE QUILICHA Y May-140.68% May-1436,9010.03.2% May-140.06% 0.05%36,9010.03.2% May-140.06% May-1436,9010.03.2% May-140.06% May-1436,9010.03.2% May-140.06% 	CORDILLERA CENTRAL		PRADERA	Already Connected		2.29%	52,493	
Norte del Cauca) Michanda Y May-14 0.68% 36,901 4.023% CAUCA CORINTO Y May-14 0.66% 30,319 59.92% CAUCA CAUTO Already Connected 201% 87.87 MONTES DE MARIA BOLIVAR Already Connected 0.68% 30,319 59.92% MONTES DE MARIA BOLIVAR CAMICA Already Connected 0.81% 71,854 SUCRE OVEIAS Y Nov. 2012 0.10% 21,455 SUCRE OVEIAS Y Nov. 2012 1.88% 16,237 67.48% BRICERO ANORIN Y Nov. 2012 1.88% 16,237 67.48% Itage Connected Anorady Nov. 2012 1.88% 16,237 67.48% NUDO DE PARAMILIO Antrady Connected Nov. 2012 1.88% 16,237 75.13% Calcasa Antrady Connected Nov. 2012 1.83% 1.22% 38,13% 1.11% 20,55% 56.55% An	(Sur del Tolima, Valle y	VALLE DEL CAUCA	FLORIDA	Already Connected		2.00%	57,264	
CAUCA CONITO Y May-14 0.06% 30.319 59.32% MONTES DE MARIA - <td>Norte del Cauca)</td> <td></td> <td>MIRANDA</td> <td>Y</td> <td>May-14</td> <td>0.68%</td> <td>36,901</td> <td>40.32%</td>	Norte del Cauca)		MIRANDA	Y	May-14	0.68%	36,901	40.32%
NUDO DE PARAMILLO (región fronteriza) CAUCATO SANTANDER DE QUILCHAO SANTANDER DE QUILCHAO TORIBIO Y May-14 0.35% 17,499 76.30% MONTES DE MARIA BOLIVAR Already Connected 0.01% 77.854 93.92% MONTES DE MARIA BOLIVAR Already Connected 0.01% 77.854 93.92% MONTES DE MARIA OVELAS Nov. 2012 0.01% 21.456 94.92% MUDO DE PARAMILLO (Bajo Cauca Antioqueño ys dr de Córdoba) ANTIOQUIA Already Connected Nov. 2012 1.68% 16.237 67.48% MUDO DE PARAMILLO (Rajo Cauca Antioqueño ys dr de Córdoba) MONTELIBANO Already Connected 0.01% 2.86% 48.211		CAUCA	CORINTO	Y	May-14	0.06%	30,319	59.92%
MONTES DE MARIA ANTANDER DE QUILUCHAO TORIBIO Already Connected V May-14 2.01% 87,872 MONTES DE MARIA EL CARMEN DE BOLIVAR SAN JACINTO Y Nov-2012 0.05% 27,958 93.92% MONTES DE MARIA OVEIAS Already Connected Already Connected 0.08% 21,455 V SUCRE OVEIAS Already Connected Already Connected 0.07% 48,566 C MUDO DE PARAMILIO (Bajio Cauca Antioqui Su de Cordoba) BRICEÑO Y Nov. 2012 1.52% 8,73,77 75.13% MUDO DE PARAMILIO (Bajio Cauca Antioqui Carca Antioqui Condoba) MONTELIBANO Already Connected 0.83% 33,950 - MUDO DE PARAMILIO (Bajio Cauca Antioqui Carca Antioqui Condoba) ITUANGO Y Nov. 2012 1.61% 22,538 73.31% MONTELIBANO TARAZA Already Connected 1.11% 20,055 448,211 VALIDIVIA TIERRAITA Already Connected 1.28% 40,857% MONTELIBANO TIERRAITA Already Connected 1.11% 20,055 448,561			CALOTO	Y	May-14	0.35%	17,499	76.30%
MONTES DE MARIABOLIVAREL CARMEN DE BOLIVAR SAN JACINTOAlready Connected Already Connected0.05%27.95893.92%MONTES DE MARIABOLIVAREL CARMEN DE BOLIVAR SAN JACINTOAlready Connected0.03%71.45%SUCREOVEJAS SAN ONOFREYNov. 20120.10%21.30347.44%Already ConnectedYNov. 20121.52%8,73775.13%ANDO DE PARAMILIO (Bajo Cauca Antioqueño y Sur de Córdoba)El BAGREAlready Connected0.83%33.95033.950ANTIOQUIAEL BAGREAlready Connected0.83%33.95047.44%Already Connected0.83%33.950Antioqueño y Sur de Córdoba)EL BAGREAlready Connected0.83%33.95033.95033.95033.950MUDO DE PARAMILIO (Bajo Cauca Antioqueño y Sur de Cordoba)Nov. 20121.61%22.85%48.21141.22%38.9133.95033.95033.95033.95033.95033.95034.74%35.65%35.			SANTANDER DE QUILICHAO	Already Connected		2.01%	87,872	
MONTES DE MARIA BOLIVAR EL CARMEN DE BOLIVAR SAN JACINTO Already Connected Already Connected 0.81% 71,854 SUCRE OVEJAS 1/2 0.06% 21,456 SUCRE OVEJAS Already Connected 0.07% 48,566 Y Nov. 2012 1.88% 16,237 67.48% ANORI Y Nov. 2012 1.88% 16,237 67.48% BOLIVAR BRICEÑO Y Nov. 2012 1.88% 16,237 67.48% BRICEÑO CACCERES Already Connected 0.83% 33,950 31.8% 101,788 48.211 117.88% 101,788 48.211 111% 20.55 56.65% 73.31% 73.31% 73.31% 73.31% 74.45% 48.240 11.11% 20.055 56.65% 74.45% 48.211 11.2% 22.538 73.31% 73.31% 73.31% 74.45% 48.211 11.11% 20.055 56.65% 74.46% 48.240 0.65% 74.284 48.211 48.240 11.11% 20.05%			TORIBIO	Y	May-14	0.05%	27,958	93.92%
MONTES DE MARIA BOLIVAR SAN JACINTO Already Connected 0.08% 21,456 SUCRE OVEJAS Y Nov. 2012 0.10% 21,303 47.44% SUCRE SAN ONOFRE Y Nov. 2012 0.10% 21,303 47.44% ANDRI Y Nov. 2012 1.88% 16,237 67.48% CACERES Already Connected Nov. 2012 1.82% 8,737 75.13% CACERES Already Connected Nov. 2012 1.12% 8,737 75.13% MIDO DE PARAMILLO (Bajo Cauca EL BAGRE Already Connected 3.51% 101,788 Antioqueño y Sur de Córdoba) TARAZA Already Connected 1.12% 24,085 56.65% Already Connected Nov. 2012 1.13% 20,055 41,924 68.57% Antegady Connected Nov. 2012 0.05% 41,924 68.57% VALDIVIA Already Connected 1.89% 99,228 68.48% Cornoba Y Nov. 2012 0.05% 90,738 <td rowspan="4">MONTES DE MARIA</td> <td></td> <td>EL CARMEN DE BOLIVAR</td> <td>Already Connected</td> <td></td> <td>0.81%</td> <td>71,854</td> <td></td>	MONTES DE MARIA		EL CARMEN DE BOLIVAR	Already Connected		0.81%	71,854	
MONTES DE MARIA SUCRE OVELAS Y Nov. 2012 0.10% 21,303 47.44% ANTIOQUIA ANTOQUIA Already Connected 0.77% 48,566 0.77% 48,566 NUDO DE PARAMILIO (Bajo Cauca ANTIOQUIA BRICEÑO Y Nov. 2012 1.52% 8,737 75.13% Antioqué poy sur de Córdoba) ANTIOQUIA EL BAGRE Already Connected 0.33% 33,950 NUDO DE PARAMILIO (Bajo Cauca ANTIOQUIA EL BAGRE Already Connected 0.33% 30,950 Antioqué poy sur de Córdoba) TRARZA Already Connected 1.12% 24,085 56.65% Already Connected 1.11% 20,055 4lready Connected 1.11% 20,055 Already Connected 1.11% 20,055 4lready Connected 1.11% 20,055 PUTUMAYO (región fronteriza) PUETTO LIBERTADOR Y Nov. 2012 0.05% 41,924 68,57% NC Already Connected NO 0.07% 22,36% 68,48% Y Nov.		BOLIVAR	SAN JACINTO	Already Connected		0.08%	21,456	
SUCRE SAN ONOFRE Already Connected 0.77% 48,566 Y Nov. 2012 1.88% 16,237 67.48% BRICENO Y Nov. 2012 1.52% 8,737 77.513% ANTIOQUIA Already Connected 0.83% 33,950 0.83% 33,950 ANTIOQUIA EL BAGRE Already Connected 0.83% 33,950 0.83% 33,950 MUDO DE PARAMILLO EL BAGRE Already Connected 0.83% 33,950 73,31% MUNGO UE PARAMILLO EL BAGRE Already Connected 0.83% 33,950 73,31% MUNGO UE PARAMILLO NECHÍ Y Nov. 2012 1.61% 22,538 73,31% MUNGO UE PARAMILLO NECHÍ Y Nov. 2012 0.05% 41,924 68,57% Already Connected 1.11% 20,055 1.11% 20,055 41,924 68,57% Already Connected Nov. 2012 0.05% 41,924 68,57% 41,924 68,57% META TERRALTA		SUCRE	OVEJAS	Ŷ	Nov. 2012	0.10%	21,303	47.44%
NUDO DE PARAMILLO (Bajo Cauca Antioquéño y Sur de Córdoba) ANTIOQUIA ANTIOQUIA EL BAGRE TARAZA Y NOV. 2012 1.88% V Nov. 2012 16,237 1.52% 0.83% 33,950 67.48% 33,950 NUDO DE PARAMILLO (Bajo Cauca Antioquéño y Sur de Córdoba) ANTIOQUIA IEBAGRE EL BAGRE TARAZA Already Connected Already Connected VALDIVIA Nov. 2012 1.88% 101,788 16,237 3.51% 67.48% 33,950 ANTIOQUIA IELBAGRE TARAZA Already Connected VALDIVIA Already Connected Already Conn			SAN ONOFRE	Already Connected		0.77%	48,566	
NUDO DE PARAMILLO (Bajo Cauca Antioqueño y Sur de Córdoba) ANTIOQUIA BRICEÑO (CAUCASIA EL BAGRE TUANGO Y Nov. 2012 1.52% 8,737 75.13% NUDO DE PARAMILLO (Bajo Cauca Antioqueño y Sur de Córdoba) ANTIOQUIA EL BAGRE TUANGO Already Connected Already Connected 3.51% 101,788 22,65% 48,211 NUDO DE PARAMILLO (Bajo Cauca Antioqueño y Sur de Córdoba) Nov. 2012 1.61% 22,538 73.31% NECHÍ Y Nov. 2012 1.61% 22,538 73.31% NALEXICA Already Connected 1.11% 20,055 56,65% Already Connected 1.22% 38,19 - - MONTELIBANO Already Connected 1.11% 20,055 44,924 MONTELIBANO Y Nov. 2012 0.05% 41,924 68,57% PUTUMAYO (región fronteriza) PUTUMAYO PUERTO LIBERTADOR Y Nov. 2012 0.00% 10,376 PUTUMAYO (región fronteriza) PUTUMAYO PUERTO AISS Y Nov. 2012 0.00% 10,375 META SAN JUAN DE ARAM	NUDO DE PARAMILLO (Bajo Cauca	ANTIOQUIA	ANORÌ	Y	Nov. 2012	1.88%	16,237	67.48%
NUDO DE PARAMILIO (Bajo Cauca Antioqueño y Sur de Córdoba) CACERES (AUCASIA EL BAGRE ANTIOQUIA Already Connected (AUCASIA EL BAGRE TARAZA Already Connected Already Connected Y 0.83% 3.51% 3.51% 101,788 Antioqueño y Sur de Córdoba) NOV. 2012 1.61% 22,538 73.31% NECHÍ Y Nov. 2012 1.61% 22,538 73.31% Antioqueño y Sur de Córdoba) NOV. 2012 1.12% 24,085 56.65% Antioqueño y Sur de Córdoba) NONTELIBANO Already Connected 1.13% 29,228 MONTELIBANO Already Connected 1.89% 29,228 68.48% PUERTO LIBERTADOR Y Nov. 2012 0.05% 41,924 VALENCIA Y Nov. 2012 0.05% 41,924 VALENCIA Y Nov. 2012 0.05% 41,924 Y Nov. 2012 0.05% 41,924 68.48% Y Nov. 2012 0.05% 41,924 68.48% Y Nov. 2012 0.00% 10,375 Y Nov. 2012 0.00% 23,955 <td>BRICEÑO</td> <td>Y</td> <td>Nov. 2012</td> <td>1.52%</td> <td>8,737</td> <td>75.13%</td>			BRICEÑO	Y	Nov. 2012	1.52%	8,737	75.13%
NUDO DE PARAMILLO (Bajo Cauca Antioqueño y Sur de Córdoba) ANTIOQUIA CAUCASIA EL BAGRE (TUANGO Already Connected Y Nov. 2012 3.51% 101,788 Antioqueño y Sur de Córdoba) TUANGO Y Nov. 2012 1.61% 22,538 73.31% Antioqueño y Sur de Córdoba) TARAZA Y Nov. 2012 1.12% 24,085 56,65% MONTELIBANO Already Connected 1.11% 20,055 41,024,085 56,65% Already Connected 1.89% 29,228 41,024,055 56,65% Already Connected 1.11% 20,05% 39,258 68,48% Y Nov. 2012 0.05% 39,258 68,48% Y Nov. 2012 0.00% 10,376 10,375 PUTUMAYO PUERTO RISS Y May-14 20,35% <			CACERES	Already Connected		0.83%	33,950	
NUDO DE PARAMILLO (Bajo Cauca Antioqueño y Sur de Córdoba) ANTIOQUIA EL BAGRE ITUANGO Already Connected Y Nov. 2012 1.61% 22,85% 48,211 Y Nov. 2012 1.61% 22,538 73,31% NECHÍ Y Nov. 2012 1.61% 22,538 73,31% Antioqueño y Sur de Córdoba) Y Nov. 2012 1.12% 38,191 VALDIVIA Already Connected 1.11% 20,055 38,191 VALDIVIA Already Connected 1.11% 20,055 38,191 VALENCIA Y Nov. 2012 0.05% 39,258 68.48% (región fronteriza) PUTUMAYO Y Nov. 2012 0.05% 39,258 68.48% (región fronteriza) PUTUMAYO PUERTO LIGLO ASIS Y May-14			CAUCASIA	Already Connected		3.51%	101.788	
NUDO DE PARAMILLO (Bajo Cauca Antioqueño y Sur de Córdoba) ITUANGO Y Nov. 2012 1.61% 22,538 73.31% Antioqueño y Sur de Córdoba) ITRAZA Already Connected 1.22% 38,191 38,191 V Nov. 2012 1.12% 24,085 56.65% Antioqueño y Sur de Córdoba) ZARAGOZA Already Connected 1.13% 20,055 MONTELIBANO Already Connected 1.89% 29,228 4189 29,228 CORDOBA PUERTO LIBERTADOR Y Nov. 2012 0.05% 41,924 68.57% Already Connected Already Connected 0.96% 90,738 68.48% CORDOBA TERRALTA Already Connected 0.96% 90,738 68.48% MUTUMAYO PUTUMAYO TERRALTA Y Nov. 2012 0.00% 10,376 región fronteriza) PUTUMAYO FUTUAYO NG 0.47% 15,613 418% META RESTAS Y May-14 0.03% 10,035 22,324 RIGIÓN MAC			EL BAGRE	Already Connected		2.86%	48,211	
NUDO DE PARAMILIO (Bajo Cauca Antioqueño \$ Sur de Córdoba) NECHÍ Y Nov. 2012 1.12% 24,085 56.65% Antioqueño \$ Sur de Córdoba) TARAZA Already Connected 1.12% 38,191			ITUANGO	Ý	Nov. 2012	1.61%	22.538	73.31%
Bajo Cauca Antioqueño y Sur de Córdoba) TARAZA Already Connected 1.22% 38,191 VALDIVIA Already Connected 1.11% 20,055 ZARAGOZA Already Connected 1.89% 29,228 MONTELIBANO Already Connected 1.89% 29,228 MONTELIBANO Already Connected 3.66% 74,284 PUERTO LIBERTADOR Y Nov. 2012 0.05% 41,924 68.57% VALENCIA Y Nov. 2012 0.05% 39,258 68.48% SAN JOSE DE URE Y Nov. 2012 0.00% 10,376 PUTUMAYO PUERTO AISIS Y Nov. 2012 0.00% 10,376 Y Nov. 2012 0.00% 10,376 10,376 10,376 Y Nov. 2012 0.00% 10,376 10,376 10,376 Y May-14 0.01% 24,488 80.59% 10,376 Y May-14 0.01% 24,488 80.59% 11,035 10,375 10,375 10,375			NECHÍ	Y	Nov. 2012	1.12%	24.085	56.65%
Antroqueno y Sur de Córdoba) VALDIVIA Already Connected 1.11% 20,055 Córdoba ZARAGOZA Already Connected 1.89% 29,228 Already Connected 3.66% 74,284 PUERTO LIBERTADOR Y Nov. 2012 0.05% 41,924 68.57% VALENCIA VALENCIA Already Connected 0.05% 99,258 68.48% VALENCIA VALENCIA Y Nov. 2012 0.00% 10,376 PUTUMAYO VALENCIA Y Nov. 2012 0.00% 10,376 PUTUMAYO FUTUMAYO EEGUIZAMO Y Nov. 2012 0.00% 10,376 (región fronteriza) PUTUMAYO EEGUIZAMO Y May-14 0.01% 24,488 80.59% META MESETAS NO 0.01% 24,488 80.59% MAGIÁN MESETAS NO 0.07% 29,234 24.488 80.59% META MESETAS NO 0.07% 29,234 24.82% 23,707 7.2.7			TARAZA	Already Connected		1.22%	38,191	
Lorabba) ZARAGOZA Already Connected 1.89% 29,228 MONTELIBANO Already Connected 3.66% 74,284 PUERTO LIBERTADOR Y Nov. 2012 0.05% 41,924 68.57% Already Connected Y Nov. 2012 0.05% 90,738 90,738 VALENCIA Y Nov. 2012 0.06% 90,738<	Antioqueno y Sur de		VALDIVIA	Already Connected		1.11%	20,055	
MONTELIBANO Already Connected 3.66% 74,284 Y Nov. 2012 0.05% 41,924 68.57% VALENCIA Y Nov. 2012 0.05% 41,924 68.57% VALENCIA Y Nov. 2012 0.05% 90,738 90,738 VALENCIA SAN JOSE DE URE Y Nov. 2012 0.00% 10,376 Y Nov. 2012 0.00% 10,376 90,738 90,738 PUTUMAYO PUERTO ASIS Y Nov. 2012 0.00% 10,376 Y May-14 2.03% 57,951 52.36% 90,738 Y May-14 0.03% 24,488 80.59% Y May-14 0.03% 24,488 80.59% Y May-14 0.03% 49,223 64.81% Y May-14 0.03% 11,035 90,234 REGIÓN MACARENA- META MESETAS NO 0.05% 11,035 Y Jan. 2014 0.02% 8,986	Cordobaj		ZARAGOZA	Already Connected		1.89%	29,228	
PUTUMAYO (región fronteriza) PUTUMAYO PUTUMAYO PUTUMAYO PUTUMAYO PUTUMAYO PUERTO ASIS Y Nov. 2012 0.05% 41,924 68.57% PUTUMAYO (región fronteriza) PUTUMAYO VALENCIA 0.96% 90,738 90,738 PUTUMAYO (región fronteriza) PUTUMAYO LEGUIZAMO Nov. 2012 0.00% 10,376 VALLE DEL GUAMUEZ Y Nov. 2012 0.00% 10,376 0.07% KAN MIGUEL Y May-14 2.03% 57,951 52.36% Y May-14 0.01% 24,488 80.59% Y May-14 0.03% 49,272 64.81% Y May-14 0.01% 24,488 80.59% Y Jan. 2014 0.02% 11,035 11.035 Y Jan. 2014 0.02% 14,644 12% Y Jan. 2014 0.02% 14,644 14 12% 31,416 70.00% Y Jan. 2014 0.05% 32,707 73.99% 14 14,644			MONTELIBANO	Already Connected		3.66%	74,284	
CORDOBA TIERRALTA Already Connected 0.96% 90,738 VALENCIA VALENCIA Y Nov. 2012 0.50% 39,258 68.48% PUTUMAYO (región fronteriza) PUTUMAYO 10,376 Y Nov. 2012 0.00% 10,376 PUTUMAYO (región fronteriza) PUTUMAYO PUERTO ASIS Y May-14 2.03% 57,951 52.36% XAI LIE DEL GUAMUEZ Y May-14 0.01% 24,488 80.59% VALLE DEL GUAMUEZ Y May-14 0.03% 49,272 64.81% META MESETAS NO 0.07% 29,234 Already Connected 0.07% 29,234 REGIÓN MACARENA- RÍO CAGUÁN META MESETAS NO Already Connected 0.07% 29,234 VIBE VISTA HERMOSA Y Jan. 2014 0.02% 18,206 72.75% VIBE VISTA HERMOSA Y Jan. 2014 0.02% 14,644 Y Jan. 2014 0.02% 14,644 Y May-14 0.0			PUERTO LIBERTADOR	Y	Nov. 2012	0.05%	41,924	68.57%
VALENCIA Y Nov. 2012 0.50% 39,258 68.48% SAN JOSE DE URE Y Nov. 2012 0.00% 10,376 PUTUMAYO (región fronteriza) PUTUMAYO PUERTO ASIS Y Nov. 2012 0.00% 10,376 Y May-14 2.03% 57,951 52.36% Y May-14 0.01% 24,488 80.59% Y May-14 0.01% 24,488 80.59% Y May-14 0.83% 49,272 64.81% NO 0.07% 29,234 0.07% 29,234 META PUERTO RICO Y Jan. 2014 0.02% 11,035 REGIÓN MACARENA- RÍO CAGUÁN VISTA HERMOSA Y Jan. 2014 0.02% 14,644 Y Jan. 2014 0.02% 14,644 Y Jan. 2014 0.02% 13,416 70.00% CAQUETA SAN VICENTE DEL CAGUAN Y May-14 0.20% 13,416 70.00% GARN MICO LA MONTAÑITA Y </td <td></td> <td>TIERRALTA</td> <td>Already Connected</td> <td></td> <td>0.96%</td> <td>90,738</td> <td></td>			TIERRALTA	Already Connected		0.96%	90,738	
PUTUMAYO (región fronteriza) PUTUMAYO LEGUIZAMO NO 0.00% 10,376 PUTUMAYO PUERTO ASIS NO 0.47% 15,613 Y May-14 2.03% 57,951 52.36% Y May-14 0.01% 24,488 80.59% Y May-14 0.01% 24,488 80.59% Y May-14 0.01% 24,488 80.59% Y May-14 0.83% 49,272 64.81% NO 0.07% 29,234 0.05% 11,035 PUERTO RICO Y Jan. 2014 0.02% 14,640 Y Jan. 2014 0.02% 14,644 0.02% 14,644 Y Jan. 2014 0.02% 14,644 0.03% 23,707 73.99% ARTAGENA DEL CHAIRA Y May-14 0.02% 13,416 70.00% KIO AGUETA SAN VICENTE DEL CAGUAN Y May-14 0.04% 32,989 50.96% LA MONTAÑITA Y			VALENCIA	Ý	Nov. 2012	0.50%	39,258	68.48%
PUTUMAYO (región fronteriza) LEGUIZAMO PUERTO ASIS NO 0.47% 15,613 Y May-14 2.03% 57,951 52.36% SAN MIGUEL Y May-14 0.01% 24,488 80.59% VALLE DEL GUAMUEZ Y May-14 0.83% 49,272 64.81% MESETAS PUERTO RICO Y Jan. 2014 0.02% 11,035 PUERTO RICO SAN JUAN DE ARAMA Y Jan. 2014 0.02% 14,644 Y Jan. 2014 0.02% 14,644 Y 41ready Connected Y Jan. 2014 0.05% 23,707 73.99% VISTA HERMOSA Y Jan. 2014 0.05% 23,707 73.99% CAQUETA SAN VICENTE DEL CAGUAN Y May-14 0.90% 63,239 50.96% NARIÑO NARIÑO TUMACO Y May-14 0.04% 22,989 81.53%			SAN JOSE DE URE	Y	Nov. 2012	0.00%	10,376	
PUTUMAYO (región fronteriza) PUERTO ASIS Y May-14 2.03% 57,951 52.36% SAN MIGUEL SAN MIGUEL Y May-14 0.01% 24,488 80.59% VALLE DEL GUAMUEZ VALLE DEL GUAMUEZ Y May-14 0.01% 24,488 80.59% MESETAS PUERTO RICO Y May-14 0.03% 49,272 64.81% NO 0.07% 29,234 0.05% 11,035 - PUERTO RICO Y Jan. 2014 0.02% 18,206 72.75% SAN JUAN DE ARAMA Y Jan. 2014 0.02% 14,644 - VISTA HERMOSA Y Jan. 2014 0.05% 23,707 73.99% CAQUETA CARTAGENA DEL CHAIRA Y May-14 0.02% 14,644 Y May-14 0.02% 13,416 70.00% SAN VICENTE DEL CAGUAN Y May-14 0.90% 63,239 50.96% LA MONTAÑITA Y May-14 0.04% 22,989		PUTUMAYO	LEGUIZAMO	NO		0.47%	15.613	
(región fronteriza) PUTUMAYO SAN MIGUEL Y May-14 0.01% 24,488 80.59% VALLE DEL GUAMUEZ VALLE DEL GUAMUEZ Y May-14 0.83% 49,272 64.81% MESETAS MESETAS NO 0.07% 29,234 11,035 PUERTO RICO Y Jan. 2014 0.02% 118,206 72.75% SAN JUAN DE ARAMA Y Jan. 2014 0.02% 14,644 4 VISTA HERMOSA Y Jan. 2014 0.02% 14,644 73.99% CAQUETA CARTAGENA DEL CHAIRA Y May-14 0.02% 63,239 50.96% LA MONTAÑITA Y May-14 0.04% 22,989 81.53%	PUTUMAYO		PUERTO ASIS	Y	May-14	2.03%	57,951	52.36%
VALLE DEL GUAMUEZ Y May-14 0.83% 49,272 64.81% NO 0.07% 29,234 0.05% 11,035 0.05% 11,035 0.05% 11,035 0.05% 11,035 0.05% 11,035 0.05% 11,035 0.05% 11,035 0.05% 11,035 0.05% 11,035 0.05% 11,035 0.05% 11,035 0.05% 11,035 0.05% 14,644 0.02% 14,644 0.02% 14,644 0.02% 14,644 0.00% 0.05% 23,707 73.99% 0.05% 23,707 73.99% 0.05% 23,707 73.99% 0.05% 23,707 73.99% 0.05% 23,707 73.99% 0.05% 23,707 73.99% 0.05% 23,707 73.99% 0.05% 23,707 73.99% 0.05% 23,707 73.99% 0.05% 23,707 73.99% 0.05% 23,707 73.99% 0.05% 23,707 73.99% 0.05% 23,707 73.99% 0.05% 23,707 73.99% 0.05%	(región fronteriza)		SAN MIGUEL	Y	May-14	0.01%	24,488	80.59%
REGIÓN MACARENA RÍO CAGUÁN LA MACARENA META NO 0.07% 29,234 META MESETAS Already Connected 0.05% 11,035 Y Jan. 2014 0.02% 18,206 72.75% JURIBE VISTA HERMOSA Y Jan. 2014 0.08% 8,986 62.23% VISTA HERMOSA VISTA HERMOSA Y Jan. 2014 0.02% 14,644 Y Jan. 2014 0.05% 23,707 73.99% CAQUETA SAN VICENTE DEL CAGUAN Y May-14 0.90% 63,239 50.96% LA MONTAÑITA Y May-14 0.92% 183.006 53.73%			VALLE DEL GUAMUEZ	Y	May-14	0.83%	49,272	64.81%
META MESETAS Already Connected 0.05% 11,035 Y Jan. 2014 0.02% 18,206 72.75% SAN JUAN DE ARAMA Y Jan. 2014 0.08% 8,986 62.23% URIBE VISTA HERMOSA Y Jan. 2014 0.05% 11,035 Already Connected 0.02% 14,644 Y Jan. 2014 0.05% 23,707 73.99% CAQUETA CARTAGENA DEL CHAIRA Y May-14 0.02% 14,644 Y Jan. 2014 0.05% 23,707 73.99% LA MONTAÑITA Y May-14 0.90% 63,239 50.96% V MANIÃO Y May-14 0.92% 183.006 53.73%		META	LA MACARENA	NO		0.07%	29,234	
REGIÓN MACARENA- RÍO CAGUÁN META PUERTO RICO SAN JUAN DE ARAMA URIBE Y Jan. 2014 0.02% 18,206 72.75% VI Jan. 2014 0.02% 18,206 72.75% 73.99% 74.644 <td></td> <td>MESETAS</td> <td>Already Connected</td> <td></td> <td>0.05%</td> <td>11 035</td> <td></td>			MESETAS	Already Connected		0.05%	11 035	
REGIÓN MACARENA- RÍO CAGUÁN META SAN JUAN DE ARAMA Y Jan. 2014 0.08% 8,986 62.23% VISTA HERMOSA VISTA HERMOSA Y Jan. 2014 0.02% 14,644 VISTA HERMOSA Y May-14 0.12% 31,416 70.00% VISTA HERMOSA Y May-14 0.90% 63,239 50.96% VISTA HERMOSA Y May-14 0.90% 63,239 50.96% VISTA HERMOSA Y May-14 0.92% 183.006 53.73% NARIÑO NARIÑO TUMACO Y May-14 0.92% 183.006 53.73%	REGIÓN MACARENA- RÍO CAGUÁN		PUERTO RICO	Y	Jan, 2014	0.02%	18.206	72.75%
REGION MACARENA- RÍO CAGUÁN URIBE URIBE 0.02% 14,644 VISTA HERMOSA Y Jan. 2014 0.05% 23,707 73.99% CAQUETA SAN VICENTE DEL CAGUAN Y May-14 0.12% 31,416 70.00% LA MONTAÑITA Y May-14 0.04% 22,989 81.53% NARIÑO NARIÑO TUMACO Y May-14 0.92% 183.006 53.73%			SAN JUAN DE ARAMA	Ŷ	Jan, 2014	0.08%	8.986	62.23%
RIO CAGUAN VISTA HERMOSA Y Jan. 2014 0.05% 23,707 73.99% CAQUETA CARTAGENA DEL CHAIRA Y May-14 0.12% 31,416 70.00% CAQUETA SAN VICENTE DEL CAGUAN Y May-14 0.90% 63,239 50.96% LA MONTAÑITA Y May-14 0.04% 22,989 81.53% NARIÑO NARIÑO TUMACO Y May-14 0.92% 183.006 53.73%			URIBE	Already Connected		0.02%	14,644	02.2070
CARTAGENA DEL CHAIRA Y May-14 0.12% 31,416 70.00% CAQUETA SAN VICENTE DEL CAGUAN Y May-14 0.90% 63,239 50.96% LA MONTAÑITA Y May-14 0.04% 22,989 81.53% NARIÑO NARIÑO TUMACO Y May-14 0.92% 183.006 53.73%			VISTA HERMOSA	Y	Jan. 2014	0.05%	23,707	73.99%
CAQUETA SAN VICENTE DEL CAGUAN Y May-14 0.90% 63,239 50.96% LA MONTAÑITA Y May-14 0.04% 22,989 81.53% NARIÑO NARIÑO TUMACO Y May-14 0.92% 183.006 53.73%		CAQUETA	CARTAGENA DEL CHAIRA	Y Y	Mav-14	0.12%	31.416	70.00%
LA MONTAÑITA Y May-14 0.04% 22,989 81.53% NARIÑO NARIÑO TUMACO Y May-14 0.92% 183.006 53.73%			SAN VICENTE DEL CAGUAN	Y Y	Mav-14	0.90%	63.239	50.96%
NARIÑO NARIÑO TUMACO Y May-14 0.92% 183.006 53.73%			LA MONTAÑITA	Y	May-14	0.04%	22,989	81.53%
	NARIÑO	NARIÑO	тимасо	Y	May-14	0.92%	183.006	53.73%

Annex 2 – Location of Priority Municipalities in Colombia



U.S. Agency for International Development

1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20523 Tel: (202) 712-0000 Fax: (202) 216-3524 www.usaid.gov